

# October 2011 About this project

#### Name

What types of investment can most cost effectively ensure ecosystem service provision? A randomised program evaluation.

#### Principal investigator

Nigel Asquith, Fundación Natura Bolivia.

#### **Partners**

Kelsey Jack, Sustainability Science Program, Harvard University. Sampurno Bruijnzeel, Vrije University, Amsterdam.

#### Time frame

July 2010 - June 2012.

## Objective

Using a controlled experimental design modelled on the natural sciences, this project is evaluating the impact of a payments for ecosystem services (PES) scheme on water quality, biodiversity, forest cover and the socioeconomic welfare of the poor in Bolivia's farming communities.

#### Summary

Despite the billions spent on programmes to conserve ecosystems and help poor communities, there is rarely good evidence that these projects have their intended impacts.

In the Santa Cruz valleys of Bolivia, a unique large-scale experiment is underway, testing a forest conservation scheme across 130 villages divided randomly into groups who do or do not receive payments for protecting forested watersheds. The experiment will show whether conditional in-kind payments for conservation actually lead to environmental and economic improvements, and will shed new light on the relationship between poverty and ecosystem service provision.

The results will provide useful feedback for NGOs and governments rolling out similar schemes elsewhere and it is hoped that the project's scientific approach will serve as a model for other action-research groups.



# Do conservation projects work?

How can NGOs and donors measure the impact of work on forest conservation and poverty?

Imagine running a pharmaceutical trial in which you give aspirin to a patient suffering from a fever. If the patient gets better, you'll conclude the aspirin worked. If she doesn't, you'll conclude the aspirin was not the best treatment. Of course, the patient might have improved without the drug, and if their condition had worsened you wouldn't know if the aspirin had slowed the decline — that's why real drug trials enrol many patients and use control groups. But you have an ailing patient, and you think a pill will help. It seems wrong not to try.

Most monitoring and evaluation of conservation programmes takes an analogous approach, argues Nigel Asquith — especially when aiming to protect ecological resources for the benefit of the poor. Asquith, a researcher at the Bolivian NGO Natura Bolivia, is leading a project to introduce more rigour to the field.

For the past two years, Asquith and his team have been preparing large-scale, controlled tests of a programme that compensates local people for conserving forests in south-eastern Bolivia.

With funds from the European Union and other donors, Natura Bolivia has piloted reciprocal watershed agreements — small-scale, locallymanaged payments for ecosystem services (PES) initiatives — since 2003. The NGO has worked with local governments and water-cooperatives to explain to communities that cattle-grazing in forests along streams contaminates water supplies and exacerbates droughts for downstream farmers. Water users and providers then negotiate in-kind payments — such as beehives — so that landowners can preserve the upstream wooded watersheds while gaining steady income from them.

The Natura-led initiatives have grown from 1,235 protected acres to 22,000 in 2010. In 2007, a new 1.8 million acre protected area was created to conserve Cruz valleys, providing an opportunity for villagers within the protected area to adopt their own watershed agreements. With the opportunity for a huge scale-up, Natura wanted evidence that reciprocal agreements not only brought forest areas under contract, but that the conservation of these forests, watersheds, and the livelihoods depending on them was additional and effective. Experts at Harvard's Sustainability Science programme designed an evidence-based evaluation, including statistical formulas to analyse and compare 130 villages in the protected area.

In this way, ESPA funding has enabled Natura bolivia to borrow approaches from experimental economics to generate new evidence. This innovative project is one example of how ESPA's framework grants can pioneer approaches linking ecosystem services and poverty alleviation.

#### A tale of two samples

To establish a baseline, researchers surveyed the area's 2,700 families on their socio-economic situation, perceptions about the environment and local institutions. In each community, Natura Bolivia's staff also measured water quality, vegetation cover and the abundance and diversity of beetles, amphibians and aquatic macroinvertebrates.

Using an ESPA grant, the NGO then hired technicians and research managers to launch the PES scheme. All 130 communities have received information about the threats to watersheds, better cattle-grazing practices, and alternative ways to live off forests. Half the villages, chosen randomly, now have the chance to enrol in the payment scheme.

Follow-up data will show whether forest conservation is more effective where compensation is paid, as well as the effects of payments on local livelihoods and outlooks. It's the comparison with the 65 villages receiving no payments that makes the study uniquely robust; at best, environmental NGOs may measure the situation before and after their interventions, but donors virtually never require them to assess an untouched site as a control.

That's a mistake, says Asquith, mainly because including a control site is the best way to judge cost-effectiveness. The ESPA-supported project's rigorous results will be useful to both experts and practitioners asking broader questions about whether poor communities gain when ecosystem services such as watersheds are protected.

There are side benefits to the ESPA supported project as well. Asquith reports that in the process of designing a well-controlled evaluation — asking which key elements for impact should be included in the 'experimental' group and left out of the 'control' — his team identified several ways to improve the seemingly successful existing PES payment schemes. This led Natura Bolivia to try to improve the incentives for conserving the most valuable parcels of forest in the programmes.

#### **Next steps**

Landowners have begun signing up for PES contracts, and payments are being lined up with support from local municipalities and international donors. ESPA funds will help implement the project until June 2012, when researchers return to the field to assess early results. With data in hand, the group will consider how to engage NGOs and donors with their findings and experimental approach.

Meanwhile, Bolivian officials have drafted a national strategy based on the project; Natura Bolivia is helping to pilot the plan, and is seeking sites elsewhere in the world to further develop the randomised evaluation model.



### **New knowledge**

- Efforts to evaluate conservation programmes

   in particular attempts to protect ecosystems for the benefit of the poor usually ask the wrong questions, measure outputs rather than outcomes and exclude appropriate 'no-intervention' controls. This project explores how to rigorously measure the impact of conservation and development programmes.
- This study is perhaps the first to apply a classic scientific approach, testing a PES scheme over a large sample of communities and comparing 'experimental' to 'control' sites.
- Baseline data has been gathered on forest biodiversity, water quality and socio-economics in 130 communities, which will be useful to other researchers, local NGOs and policymakers.

# **Creating impact**

- The process of designing a well-controlled experimental assessment focused attention on potential flaws in existing local PES schemes, prompting project implementers to rethink and improve their programme design despite its apparent success in earlier pilot projects. This emphasises the value of involving nonresearchers early in the research process.
- A randomised programme evaluation is complex, time-consuming and requires cuttingedge statistical work. Other research groups can use the economic tools and surveys developed in this project, before innovating and adapting the method to new purposes.
- Incomplete and inappropriate evaluations result in wasted money. With the spread of this project's approach, and growing data on which interventions really work to improve people's environments and livelihoods, donor investments can do more good.

Safeguarding Nature Combating Hardship Empowering Communities

www.espa.ac.uk



A research programme co-funded by DFID, NERC & ESRC and accredited by LWEC





